Stochastic coordination of multiple actuators reduces latency and improves chemotactic response in bacteria
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Individual neuronal, signal transduction, and regulatory pathways often control multiple stochastic downstream actuators, which raises the question of how coordinated response to a single input can be achieved when individual actuators fluctuate independently. In Escherichia coli, the bacterial chemotaxis pathway controls the activity of multiple flagellar motors to generate the run-and-tumble motion of the cell. High-resolution microscopy experiments have identified the key conformational changes adopted by individual flagella during this process. By incorporating these observations into a stochastic model of the flagellar bundle, we demonstrate that the presence of multiple motors imposes a trade-off on chemotactic performance. Multiple motors reduce the latency of the response below the time scale of the stochastic switching of a single motor, which improves performance on steep gradients of attractants. However, the uncoordinated switching of multiple motors interrupts and shortens cell runs, which thereby reduces signal detection and performance on shallow gradients. Remarkably, when slow fluctuations generated by the adaptation mechanism of the chemotaxis system are incorporated in the model at levels measured in experiments, the chemotactic sensitivity and performance in shallow gradients is partially restored with marginal effects for steep gradients. The noise is beneficial because it simultaneously generates long events in the statistics of individual motors and coordinates the motors to generate a long tail in the run length distribution of the cell. Occasional long runs are known to enhance exploration of random walkers. Here we show that they have the additional benefit of enhancing the sensitivity of the bacterium to very shallow gradients.
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Escherichia coli performs a random walk by controlling the rotational direction of multiple flagellar motors (1). Counterclockwise (CCW) rotation of the motors promotes formation of a coherent flagellar bundle that propels the cell forward. Clockwise (CW) rotation of any single motor causes the corresponding flagellum to change conformation, exit the bundle, and generate a reorientation event called a tumble (2, 3). Through the bacterial chemotaxis pathway, E. coli regulates the frequency of tumbles to bias its random walk in favorable directions. The core of the chemotaxis network is a two-component signal transduction system in which binding of an external attractant by clusters of transmembrane chemoreceptors leads to rapid (<1 s) inhibition of the activity of the associated histidine kinase, CheA, thereby depleting the phosphorylated form of CheY (CheY-P), a diffusible response regulator that binds to the base of the motor. Lower levels of CheY-P increase the probability that flagellar motors rotate CCW and, thus, increase the mean duration of runs in response to the attractant. The network adapts to persistent stimuli at a slower time scale (approximately 15 s) by competitive methylation and demethylation of the chemoreceptors by the adaptation enzymes CheR and CheB respectively, returning the chemoreceptors to a sensitive state (4).

Many studies of the E. coli chemotaxis system have analyzed how external stimuli are converted into the rotational state of a single motor (5–14). The relevant output for a motile cell, however, is the duration and statistics of runs and tumbles, which result from the integration of multiple flagellar states (2, 3, 7, 10). Although some work has explored the hydrodynamics of the flagellar bundle (15–17) and the physics of motor switching under load (18–21), it remains prohibitively difficult, both theoretically and computationally, to incorporate such information into models designed to study signal processing and motility over long time scales or large populations. Relatively recently, Berg and colleagues used high-resolution fluorescence microscopy (2, 3) to document how a typical tumble event is likely to arise from a single motor switching to CW rotation within the bundle (Fig. 1). These observations laid the foundation for understanding the implications of multiple flagella for bacterial chemotaxis, which so far remain unclear.

Here we build a coarse-grained stochastic model of multiple flagellar motors and flagellar interactions on the basis of the observations of Berg and colleagues (2, 3) and couple it to a model of signal transduction. We then analyze the consequences of multiple flagellar motors on signal processing and motile behavior by performing agent-based simulations of populations of up to 105 individual cells.

Results

Conformation Model of Multiple Flagella. We built a stochastic, phenomenological model of flagellar motors, conformations, and interactions that integrates results from multiple experimental observations (2, 3, 8, 22). Individual motors are modeled as stochastic bistable systems (23, 24) in which the transition rates $k_{\text{CW}}$ and $k_{\text{CCW}}$ to CCW and CW rotation, respectively, are functions of the concentration of CheY-P, $Y_P$:

$$k_{\pm} = \omega \cdot \exp\left(\pm \frac{g_0}{4} - \frac{g_1}{2} \left(\frac{Y_P(t)}{Y_P(t) + K_D}\right)\right),$$

with parameters $\omega$, $g_0$, $g_1$, and $K_D$ chosen to fit single motor experimental data (8, 22) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1).

Each flagellum is modeled as a three-state system (Fig. 1) with states corresponding to normal, semicoiled, or curly-1 conformations and transitions between states dependent on the rotational state of the motor (2). When rotating CCW, the flagellum adopts the left-handed normal conformation. After a switch to CW and a short delay $d = 0.015$ s arising from propagation of the conformational change through the tip of the flagellum, the flagellum adopts a right-handed semicoiled conformation (2). If CW rota-
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tion persists, the semicoiled form gives way to the more stable right-handed curly-1 conformation that wraps around the flagellar bundle with constant rate $\lambda^{-1} = 5 \text{s}^{-1}$. At any point, a switch of the motor back to CCW returns the flagellum from either semicoiled or curly-1 to the normal conformation after a delay $d$. Let $f_i(t)$ be the conformational state of flagellum $i = 1...N$ at time $t$,

$$f_i(t + dt) = \begin{cases} \text{NORM} & \text{if } m_i(t + dt) = \text{CCW and } T_i^m(t) > d + dt, \\ \text{SEMI} & \text{if } m_i(t + dt) = \text{CW and } d + dt < T_i^m(t) < d + \lambda_i + dt, \\ \text{CURLY} & \text{if } m_i(t + dt) = \text{CW and } T_i^m(t) > d + \lambda_i + dt \\ f_i(t) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_i$ is sampled for each flagellum $i$ that switches to semicoiled according to an exponential distribution with mean $\lambda = 0.2 \text{s}$.

As in other modeling studies (10, 13, 14, 25, 26), we consider the state of a cell to be either running or tumbling. For a cell to be running, it is assumed that at least $x$ out of the $N$ flagella must be in the normal conformation to form a coherent bundle. Flagella rotating CW but in the curly-1 conformation can wrap around the main bundle, and the cell can continue a run. However, any flagellum in the semicoiled state generates a tumble. Eqs. 1 and 2 are integrated numerically to obtain trajectories of single cell states (SI Appendix).

Analytical expressions for the steady-state statistics of the flagellar motors and cell states as a function of $Y_p$ can be obtained under the simplifying assumption that conformatons propagate instantaneously along a flagellum—i.e., $d \approx 0$ (SI Appendix). In this case, the probability for a motor to be rotating CW is $P_{\text{CW}} = k_j/(k_j + k_k)$, and the conditional probability that its flagellum is in the curly-1 conformation is $P_{\text{CURLY,CW}} = \lambda^{-1}/(k_j + \lambda^{-1})$. The probabilities for one flagellum to be in the normal, curly-1, or semicoiled conformation are then $P_{\text{NORMAL}} = 1 - P_{\text{CW}}$, $P_{\text{CURLY}} = P_{\text{CURLY,CW}} P_{\text{CW}}$, and $P_{\text{SEMI}} = 1 - P_{\text{CURLY}} - P_{\text{NORMAL}}$, respectively. For a cell with $N$ flagella to be running, no flagella can be in the semicoiled and a minimum number of flagella, $x$, must be in the normal conformation to form a bundle. In the main text we assume $x = 2$ (the case $x = N - 2$ is considered in SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Accordingly, the steady-state probability to run is $P_{\text{RUN}} = \sum_{j} P_j$, where $P_j = \sum_{i} C_j P_{i,j}^\text{CURLY} P_{i,j}^\text{NORMAL}$ is the probability that $j$ flagella are in the normal conformation and zero are in the semicoiled conformation, $N_{ij}$ is the binomial coefficient. The rate of run termination is $k_{\text{R}} = k_{\text{W}}(j|\text{RUN})$, where $(j|\text{RUN}) = \sum_{i} P_{i,j}/P_{\text{RUN}}$ is the average number of flagella in the normal conformation given that the cell is running. From these definitions, all other key dynamical properties of the conformation model in steady state (with $d \approx 0$) can be derived including the switching rate between runs and tumbles and the rate of resuming a run after a tumble event (SI Appendix).

Although this model neglects more complicated hydrodynamic effects and their possible feedback on motor switching (15–19) (see Discussion), it does effectively capture how a single motor switching to CW rotation generates a tumble, which is the primary mechanism by which runs may be cut short. Our model differs from previous studies (11) by considering flagellar conformation explicitly. It also differs from other previous works that ignore multiple flagella (13, 14, 27, 28), adopt a voting model in which a cell runs when a threshold number of motors are in CW rotation (25, 26, 29), or represent the flagellar bundle state by using a distortion factor that grows with time spent in CW rotation (30).

**Multiple Flagella Improve Detection of Fast Signals.** We first assessed how the tumble bias and switching frequency between run-and-tumble states are affected by multiple flagella. Increasing the number of flagella moderately increased the tumble bias of the cell as a function of $Y_p$ in the range of low to moderate CW bias of the individual motor ($Y_p < 3 \text{mM}$) (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S3 for the case $x = N - 2$) and increased the switching frequency between runs and tumbles (Fig. 2B). Additionally, at steady state, cells with multiple flagella switched from run to tumble at a higher rate than cells with a single flagellum but the same tumble bias (Fig. 2C), which was expected because any flagellum could induce a tumble. The rate of switching from a tumble to a run as a function of tumble bias increased similarly with the number of flagella (Fig. 2D). For $Y_p$, between 2 and 3 mM, tumbles were often generated by a single flagellum that had sufficient time to switch to curly-1 and wrap around the main bundle before the corresponding motor switched back to CCW.

We then tested the effect of multiple flagella on a cell’s response to step changes in $Y_p$ by recording the response lag defined as the mean time after the step change to the start of the next run or tumble event. This metric is similar to that used to measure single cell response to steps in chemotaxis (6, 10, 31). We found that cells with multiple flagella generated a tumble more quickly in response to step increases in $Y_p$ as compared to cells with a single flagellum (Fig. 2E) by as much as 0.5 s for $Y_p$ increases of 0.4 mM. This result is in general agreement with experiments which exposed tethered (one flagellum) and free-swimming cells (multiple flagella) to step stimuli of repellent, which tends to increase $Y_p$ (10). Multiple flagella also reduced the latency of response to small drops in $Y_p$; however, in this case the effect on cellular behavior is more marginal and difficult to
observe (SI Appendix, Fig. S4) because of the small time scales involved (approximately 0.09 s for $Y_p$ decreases of 0.4 pM) (Fig. 2F). Consistent with these results (SI Appendix, Fig. S4), experiments that exposed tethered and free-swimming cells to steps of attractant found little appreciable difference in the average response times (12). We note that the reduced latency of the response due to multiple flagella also depended on tumble bias and that for cells with a much higher tumble bias the effect should be more pronounced (SI Appendix, Fig. S5).

Next we investigated whether the faster response of the multiflagellar system would enable detection of faster signals. We simulated cells with either one or four flagellar motors over a wide range of linear gradients of the chemoattractant methyl-aspartate measured at 1 min after the start of the simulation. Results shown for cells with a single flagellum in which the CCW or CW rotation is used as a proxy for runs and tumbles, respectively (gray line), cells with a single flagellar motor and noise of CV 0.15 (blue line), cells with four motors (black line), or cells with four motors and noise with CV 0.15 (red line). Cells begin adapted to the initial background concentration of 0.1 mM. Results were averaged over 18,000 cells for each population. All cells have tumble bias TB = 0.25, an adaptation time scale $\tau = 15$ s, and a run velocity $v = 20$ pM/s. (C) Relative effect of multiple motors on chemotactic performance: drift velocity of cells with multiple motors normalized by that of cells with a single motor for cases with (red line) and without (black line) signaling noise. (D) Relative effect of signaling noise on chemotactic performance: drift velocity of cells with signaling noise normalized by that of cells lacking signaling noise for the cases of single (blue line) and multiple (red line) motors. The length scale of the linear gradient is calculated as $L/(\delta L/dx)$ at the initial position of the cell where the ligand concentration is $L = 0.1$ mM.

Multiple Flagella Enhance Chemotactic Performance on Steep Gradients but Impair Chemotactic Performance on Shallow Gradients. Next we tested the implications of the enhanced detection of fast signals on chemotactic performance. We constructed a stochastic model of the chemotaxis signaling network adapted from recent, experimentally validated models (13, 25, 26, 32–35). As in many of these previous studies, the cooperative response of chemoreceptors was represented with a Monod-Wyman-Changeux (MWC) model (Methods). We also built an agent-based model of cell motion in 3D that accounted for rotational diffusion and directional persistence after tumbles (36) (Methods).

We simulated cells with either one or four flagellar motors over a wide range of linear gradients of the chemoattractant methyl-aspartate (Fig. 3B). The addition of multiple flagella increased the drift velocity of cells on steep gradients by up to 14% (Fig. 3C). Because cells with multiple flagella are able to tumble more rapidly in response to a stimulus drop (Fig. 2F), they are able to more quickly alter their heading when moving against the gradient. However, on shallow gradients, we found that the presence of multiple flagellar motors reduced the drift velocity by up...
to 54% (Fig. 3C). Along shallow gradients, cells must move a longer distance to detect a change in attractant concentration. The higher tumbling rate of cells with multiple flagella is detrimental, because it prevents cells from sampling over large enough distances to make meaningful comparisons of attractant concentration. An increase in the number of flagellar motors thus introduced a trade-off in which response to steep gradients was improved at the expense of performance on shallow gradients.

How might a cell with multiple flagella cope with reduced performance on shallow gradients? We hypothesized that the reduced performance could be partially offset by considering fluctuations in the internal $Y_p$ signal that could help coordinate motor switching, thus lengthening runs and improving detection of shallow gradients. Therefore we next investigated the role of signaling noise within the context of signal processing by multiple motors.

**Signaling Noise Coordinates the Switching Statistics of Multiple Flagellar Motors.** By monitoring multiple motors in a single cell (29), Berg and colleagues discovered that the rotational biases of adjacent flagellar motors were significantly correlated over a time scale of approximately 10 s (Fig. 4A). Recently, correlations between the switching events of two adjacent motors were documented on a subsecond time scale (37) and found to depend on the precise location of the motors in relation to the receptor cluster. Although motor coordination over fast time scales may result from the localization of CheA and CheZ coupled to the fast dynamics of CheY phosphorylation and diffusion (37), the much slower coordinated variations observed in the earlier study were unexpected, because the cells were adapted to their environment without any apparent time-varying stimuli (29).

Recent experimental and theoretical work, however, demonstrated that individual flagellar motors in nonstimulated *E. coli* cells can exhibit slow fluctuations with time scales on the order of 10–30 s originating from the adaptation reactions (11, 13, 31). We reasoned that the long correlation time of single motors and coordination of multiple motors both arise from slow fluctuations in the adaptation kinetics. To test this hypothesis, we simulated pairs of flagellar motors with Gaussian-distributed fluctuations in $Y_p$ (Methods). We found that $Y_p$ fluctuations with 12-s time correlation and coefficient of variation (CV) of 0.10 reproduced the coordinated response of adjacent motors (29) (Fig. 4A). For similar values of the correlation time (between 5 and 35 s) and noise strength (0.05 ≤ CV ≤ 0.2), the same model with all other parameters held constant reproduced the fluctuations measured from single motors in wild-type cells (11, 31). For example, we accounted for the power spectrum of the wild-type cell reported in ref. 11 with simulations of a single motor subject to fluctuations in $Y_p$ with a correlation time of 30 s and a CV of 0.15 (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Thus, the early experiments on adjacent motors (29) add an independent verification of the high degree of signaling noise in the bacterial chemotaxis pathway.

Increasing the magnitude of the input noise increased the correlation coefficient for all positive values of the correlation time as measured by the linear (Pearson) correlation coefficient between pairs of motor output (Fig. 4B). The magnitude of motor coordination also increased with the time scale of the noise over the entire range tested (SI Appendix, Fig. S7), although the dependence was strongest in the region up to approximately 1 s (indicated in Fig. 4B with a dashed line), which is the approximate time scale of motor switching. For reference, in Fig. 4B we indicate the time scale and CV of the noise corresponding to the cell in Fig. 4A (29) and the wild-type cell in SI Appendix, Fig. S6 (11).

**Signaling Noise Generates Long-Tailed Run Length Distributions to Enhance Exploration.** The finding that slow fluctuations in $Y_p$ can generate a long tail in the CCW interval distribution of a single motor (11, 14, 24) has led to the hypothesis that slow fluctuations may enhance the exploration of a cell by generating a movement pattern with characteristics of a Lévy walk (11, 13, 14, 27, 37). These studies assumed that long CCW intervals of single motors translate directly to longer runs. However, runs may be cut short by the CW rotation of a single flagellum (2, 3). We sought to establish if the slow spontaneous fluctuations arising within the adaptation mechanism are sufficient to produce a long tail in the run length distribution of a multiflagellated cell and then investigated how this noise influences chemotactic performance.

We found that for time scales and magnitude of noise similar to those measured experimentally (11, 29, 31), long tails in CCW event duration of single motors generated long tails in the run length distribution (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). Generation of long runs required not only slow fluctuations in $Y_p$ but also the coordination of motors. When the motors were uncoordinated with independent but equally noisy $Y_p$ input with the same mean, we observed that long runs were interrupted, which created a nearly exponential run length distribution (SI Appendix, Fig. S9) even though the individual motors still exhibited long intervals of CCW rotation.

![Fig. 4.](https://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1113706109) Signaling noise coordinates the switching statistics of multiple flagellar motors and enhances exploration. (A) Bias correlation of adjacent flagellar motors in a single filamentous cell (red triangles) as compared to motors from separate cells (blue circles). Experimental data are from ref. 29. Simulations of two flagellar motors on the same cell (red line) with input noise in $Y_p$, exhibiting 12-s time correlations and a CV of 0.1 and without noisy input (blue line). (B) Coordination of motors computed as the linear (Pearson) correlation coefficient. The CV and time correlation of noise predicted for the filamentous cell in A and the wild-type cell from ref. 11 are indicated with x and +, respectively. The dashed line indicates the approximate time scale of motor switching (1 s). (C) The effective diffusion coefficient $D$ for coordinated (black) and uncoordinated (gray) motors for populations of cells with either three (squares), four (circles), or six (triangle) flagella per cell. (Inset) Diffusion coefficient as a function of net signal for cells with four flagellar motors and a rotational diffusion constant of 0.031 (dotted line), 0.062 (solid line), or 0.124 rad$^2$/s (dashed line). Results were averaged over 4,000 cells for each population. All cells have a mean tumble bias of 0.25.
We then quantified the degree to which noise, motor coordination, and the number of flagella affect searching in the absence of stimuli. We simulated the run-and-tumble motion of bacteria with either coordinated or uncoordinated motors over a range of signaling noise strengths and calculated the effective diffusion coefficient (Fig. 4C). The diffusion coefficient of cells increased with the CV of the noise. At the same noise level, cells with coordinated motors diffused significantly farther than cells with uncoordinated motors. Increasing the number of motors decreased the space explored by a cell, because long runs were more likely to be interrupted by a single motor switching to CW rotation. This effect could be partially mitigated by increasing the magnitude of signaling noise. The effective diffusion coefficient eventually saturated at a high magnitude of noise (CV = 0.6) (Fig. 4C, Inset). The maximal effective diffusion coefficient depended on both the time scale of the signaling noise, which imposed an upper limit on the duration of a run (SI Appendix, Fig. S12), and the rotational diffusion, which limited the contribution of the longest runs to increased diffusion (Fig. 4C, Inset). These limits were reached only with a magnitude of noise much higher than was observed in wild-type cells (11, 31). Therefore, over the physiological range of noise magnitudes, our model predicts that added signaling noise will always enhance exploration of cells.

The Long Tail of the Run Length Distribution Enhances Sensitivity to Shallow Gradients. How does signaling noise influence signal transduction and chemotactic performance? Surprisingly, although the presence of noise reduced the SNR across all frequencies (SI Appendix, Fig. S11), noise improved chemotactic performance on shallow gradients for cells with either single or multiple flagella (Fig. 3B and D). This result highlights an interesting relationship between the run length and the local length scale of the gradient. On very shallow gradients, the length scale of the gradient becomes much longer than cell runs, which makes it difficult for the cell to detect the gradient. The occasional long runs generated by signaling noise improve performance on shallow gradients (Fig. 3B) by enabling cells to make comparisons of ligand concentration over longer distances. In the case of a single flagellum, this effect increased drift velocity by up to 13% (Fig. 3D). For a cell with multiple flagella, the signaling noise had the additional effect of coordinating the switching statistics of multiple motors, which allowed the longer CCW rotation events in single motors to generate long runs. The combined effects of the occasional long CCW intervals and coordination significantly enhanced performance on shallow gradients by up to 73% (Fig. 3D). This advantage disappeared when the long tail in the run length distribution of the noisy cells was eliminated by artificially uncoordinating the motors (SI Appendix, Fig S15). Thus, although the presence of multiple flagella reduces performance on shallow gradients, signaling noise partially compensates for this reduction (Fig. 3C) while introducing only a modest penalty on steep gradients.

Discussion

Bacterial chemotaxis provides a model system to study the effect of multiple, independent stochastic actuators on signal transduction and cellular behavior. The inclusion of multiple motors improved the detection of fast signals and decreased the lag time in response to negative stimulus, which leads to improved performance on steep attractant gradients. However, the inclusion of multiple motors introduced drawbacks as well, because independently fluctuating actuators are much less likely to produce a sustained response. For bacterial chemotaxis, this incoherence resulted in shortened run lengths that reduce the ability of the cells to explore their environment and track shallow gradients.

Signaling noise provided a means to coordinate the output of multiple independent actuators. In the chemotaxis system, signaling noise has already been shown to extend the CCW intervals of a single motor (11, 13, 14, 24, 37) and has been hypothesized to have the same effect on run length. Long tails in the run length distribution are known to enhance exploration capabilities of random walkers by enabling them to search on multiple scales (11, 38, 39). Here we found that, in addition to enhancing exploration, the occasional long runs enhance the ability of a cell to track shallow gradients by enabling it to sample the gradient over appropriately long length scales. In the case of multiple motors, signaling noise enabled the motors to generate long CCW events in a coordinated manner, which leads to sustained runs. This effect strongly reduces the negative impact of multiple flagella on the performance in shallow gradients with only a slight cost on steep gradients. Our analysis suggests that the combination of multiple motors and signaling noise enables E. coli to perform effective chemotaxis across a range of environments.

These results highlight the need to study the effects of fluctuations within a behavioral context, because a reduction in signal transduction fidelity did not necessarily translate into diminished behavioral performance. Intracellular signaling noise degraded SNR across all frequencies yet still benefited chemotactic performance. In the chemotaxis system, noise in the output feeds back into the input. By lengthening runs, noise functioned to alter the pattern with which the cell sampled its environment. This example differs from instances of noise-enhanced behavior in which noise directly improves signal processing fidelity, such as stochastic resonance (40).

Our work suggests further directions for theory and experiment. In future studies, it may be instructive to extend existing models of the motor (19, 20, 22, 41, 42) to incorporate more details about the effects of flagellar hydrodynamics (15–17) and mechanical feedback on the switching rates of the motors (18, 19, 43, 44). Because there is some indication that the torque-speed relationship of the flagellar motor depends on $Y_p$ (45), proper calibration and extension of current models of the motor would require expanding existing measurements of the torque-speed-switching rate relations (18, 19, 43, 44) to include varying levels of $Y_p$. The present study required repeated simulation of large cellular populations. Therefore we used a coarse-grained model that captures Berg and colleagues’ essential observations of flagellar conformations, as well as single cell data on motor switching, while still enabling rapid simulations. Predictions from our model concerning motor count and gradient tracking could be tested by experiments in which the motion of individual bacteria is tracked (36) and the number of flagella subsequently counted.

Our results illustrate how the action of multiple, independent components can be integrated by signaling systems to form a coherent cellular response. The presence of multiple actuators allows a response time shorter than the time scale of the stochastic switching of a single actuator and, therefore, increases the bandwidth of the system at high frequencies. On the other hand, independent fluctuations of the actuators have the potential to create an incoherent output. Upstream low-frequency noise can help overcome this challenge by coordinating actuator responses. In the case of bacterial chemotaxis, the steep sensitivity of the flagellar motor to changes in $Y_p$ is consistent with this model of performance enhancement through coordination by time-correlated noise. If the motor response were less steep, spontaneous fluctuations in $Y_p$ would be less likely to induce near-simultaneous switches in multiple motors, which would reduce the level of coordination. Similarly important was the relatively fast switching rate of the motor, which ensured that multiple motors were likely to switch within the correlation time scale of the signaling noise. Stochastic actuators in other systems that show coordinated response may well share these characteristics. For example, recent work has shown that expression of genes with different promoter strengths can be coordinated by noisy bursts of nuclear
localization of transcription factors, which allows signals to be encoded in the frequency of bursts (46, 47). We anticipate that coordination of independent downstream actuators by a common noisy input might be a feature implemented by other biological systems as well, such as membrane transport proteins, membrane channels, and molecular motors.

Methods
Model of Chemotaxis Signaling and Adaptation. Recent models (13, 25, 32, 33, 35) were adapted to simulate the chemotactic response to methyl-asperate. Chemoreceptor complexes were represented with a MWC model (48) in which receptor homodimers assemble into fully cooperative signaling networks that switch rapidly between active (on) and inactive (off) conformations. The free energy difference $F$ in units of $k_B T$ between the active and inactive states of the complex is

$$F = \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1 m + \sum \log \left( \frac{1 + [L]/K_{\text{off}}^\alpha n_i}{1 + [L]/K_{\text{on}}^\beta n_i} \right),$$

where $\epsilon_0$ is the total methylation level of the complex, $\epsilon_1$ is the mean number of methyl groups per transcription factor, $K_{\text{on}}$, and $K_{\text{off}}$ are binding constants between methyl-asparagine and the two dimer conformations. The probability of the complex to be in the active state is $P = 1 / (1 + e^{-F})$. We assume a linear relationship between receptor activity and $Y_r (18)$. Parameter values were $K_{\text{off}} = 0.02 \text{ mM}$, $K_{\text{on}} = 0.4 \text{ mM}$, $m_r = 100 \text{ mM}$, $\epsilon_1 = 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (33, 35), $\epsilon_0 = 1.0$, $\epsilon_1 = -0.45$, $\alpha = 6 \text{ M}^{-1}$, and $n_i = 13$. Methylation kinetics were modeled by the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process (49):

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left( m(t) - m_0 \right) + \sigma_m \sqrt{2 / \tau} \xi(t).$$

where $\tau$ is the relaxation time, $\sigma_m$ is the variance of fluctuations in the methylation level, $\xi(t)$ is a normally distributed random process with a zero mean and unit variance, and $m_0$ is the methylation level needed to achieve the specified steady-state activity given $L$. For simulations not requiring the full signaling system, fluctuations in $Y_r$ were modeled by the OU process $dY_r / dt = -(Y_r - Y_{r^\text{off}}) / \tau + \sigma_r / \sqrt{\tau} \xi(t)$ in which $Y_r$ and $\sigma_r$ are the mean and variance of $Y_r$, respectively.

Cell Movement in 3D Environments. Simulated bacteria have a constant run speed of 20 μm/s and, unless otherwise stated, a rotational diffusion constant of 0.062 rad²/s (14, 26, 36, 50). As in past studies (14, 26), it is assumed that tumbling cells are stationary. After tumbles, cells are reoriented in a new direction that is randomly sampled from a gamma distribution with scale parameter 18.32 and shape parameter 4 to match the experimentally observed distribution of new run angles (14, 36).

Implementation and Simulation. Models were implemented and numerically simulated with custom Matlab and C++ code that utilizes some functionality of NSFsim (23).
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